Appl. No. 10/579,851

Amdt. dated February 11, 2008

Reply to Office action of November 9, 2007

REMARKS

Claims 11, 13-16 and 21-26 are presently in the application.

By this amendment, claim 12 has been canceled and its subject matter incorporated

into claim 11. Claim 11 has been further revised by more clearly reciting that the

measurement signal corresponds to the measured pressure, and that a controller determines

the pressure in the pressure line and/or in the tank as a function of the measurement signal.

Claims 17-20 have been canceled, and new claims 21-26 have been presented.

Claim 14 has been revised by adding recitation of the controller, and that the

controller receives a signal from the pressure sensor, and also that the signal from the sensor

is used to determine the pressure in the fuel line in one operating status, and the pressure in

the tank in a second operating status.

With regard to the rejections, first it must be pointed out that in reality, Rembold et al

is not a viable reference. Fuel systems with a pre-feed pump and a downstream high-pressure

pump such as the system described by Rembold et al all have a check valve between the pre-

feed pump, 19 in Rembold et al, and the filter, 27 in Rembold et al. With such a check valve

in place, the pressure in the system can be preserved after engine shutoff. This preserved

pressure makes fast restarting of the engine possible. Without such a check valve and the

preserved pressure, restarting of the engine could be accomplished only with great difficulty.

In Rembold et al the check valve was not shown because it was of no significance to

the invention which is being claimed in this patent, but one knowledgeable and skilled in the

art would be aware that there must be such a check valve, since without it restarting of the

Page 7 of 9

Appl. No. 10/579,851

Amdt, dated February 11, 2008

Reply to Office action of November 9, 2007

engine could only be accomplished with great difficulty. Since such a check valve would

close off connection with the fuel tank when the engine stops, any tank leakage could not be

indicated by a pressure sensor located at this point in the fuel system. Such a sensor would

only sense the elevated pressure maintained by the check valve. Thus, a system such as that

of Rembold et al could not determine tank leakage by a pressure sensor located as recited by

applicants' claim 11.

Ohta et al, at figure 1 shows a pressure sensor without a reference numeral. It is

located between the pre-feed pump 3 and the associated check valve. This pressure sensor is

not mentioned in the specification of Ohta et al, so one skilled in the art cannot learn anything

about it, and certainly one cannot determine what it does. The use of this sensor for

determining tank leakage, however, clearly is not disclosed, and certainly the usage of this

sensor for determining the tank pressure would not be obvious to one skilled in the art. There

is no teaching of anything like this of record, except for the applicants' disclosure. Thus, any

reading of the sensor of Ohta et al as a teaching for determining tank leakage can only come

from an impermissible application of hindsight using applicants' own disclosure against

them.

Furthermore, the rejection of claims as anticipated by Rembold et al or Ohta et al is

not tenable, because there is no teaching in either reference of using the pressure sensor

connected to the pressure line downstream of the feed pump and upstream of the check valve

for pressure detection in the tank. Thus, neither of these references teach the claimed

invention

Page 8 of 9

Appl. No. 10/579,851

Amdt. dated February 11, 2008

Reply to Office action of November 9, 2007

And the rejection of claims as unparentable over Rembold et al in view of Graham also is not tenable, because there is no teaching in either reference of using the pressure sensor connected to the pressure line downstream of the feed pump and upstream of the check valve for pressure detection in the tank. Thus again, neither of these references teach the claimed invention.

For the above reasons, whether taken singly or in combination with each other, entry of this amendment and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Entry of the amendment is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitte

Ronald E. Greigg

Registration No. 31, Attorney of Record

CUSTOMER NO. 02119

GREIGG & GREIGG P.L.L.C. 1423 Powhatan Street, Suite One Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: (703) 838-5500 Facsimile: (703) 838-5554

REG/SLS/ncr

J:\Bosch\R307336\Reply to 11-9-07 OA.wpd